



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/407,126	09/27/1999	71999 ROBERT W. BOSSEMEYER JR. 828		2323	
757	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HO	OFER GILSON & LIG	BORISSOV, IGOR N			
P.O. BOX 103	395				
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3639	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/407,126	BOSSEMEYER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Igor Borissov	3639

	.gc. 255551	1 0000		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspo	ndence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as fili wing replies: (1) an a otice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of Appeal. mendment, affidavit, or appeal fee) in complian	To avoid abar other evidence ce with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		e date set forth in the fina	al rejection, which	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		( (b) WHEN THE FIRST F	REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresp shortened statutory peri or than three months afte	onding amount of the fee od for reply originally set i	. The appropria in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 4	11.37 must be filed with	in two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid di	ismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date	of filing a brief, will not	be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or se			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	v materially reducing o	r eimplifying th	no issues for
appeal; and/or	iller form for appear b	y materially reducing o	i simpinying u	ie issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding numb	er of finally rejected cla	ims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	• •	,,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		tice of Non-Compliant	Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		acc of Horr Compilance	monamoni (i	102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		in a congrate timely fil	ed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	illowable il Submitted	in a separate, timely in	eu amenumei	it cancelling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-12,14-19 and 21-26</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut bafana an am tha da	to of filing a Nation of A	الدراء محمد	. ha antarad
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejection	ns under appeal and/or	appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the	claims after entry is be	low or attache	∌d.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the	e application in condition	on for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	1449) Paper No(s)		
·				
			1	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues because by adding the following limitation "placing a call to the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein the placing of the call to the first party occurs in response to the inquiring in the first transaction, the upgrading the position of the telecommunication network, and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data" to Claims 1, 9, 10 and 17 changes the scope of said Claims which was not considered during prosecution of said claims.